

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/677,535	10/02/2000	Bruce Bent	1800-002A	4334		
20583	7590 11/04/2003		EXAMINER			
PENNIE AND EDMONDS 1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			FELTEN, DANIEL S			
	NY 100362711		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3624			
			DATE MAIL ED: 11/04/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic			Applicant(s)	4			
Office Action Comments		04	09/677, 535			Bent			
Office Action Summary		Examiner				Art Unit			
	•]	011	K_		3624			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period ¹	for Reply			2					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM									
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.									
mailing	- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.								
- If the p	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within t period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply	the statut and will e	ory minir expire SI	mum of thirty X (6) MONTH:	(30) days will be 5 from the mailir	e considered timely. ng date of this communi	cation.		
- Failure	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause toply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of	the applic	ation to i	become ABAN	DONED (35 U.S	S.C. § 133).			
	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		TO THOUSE	J., 575.7 147.	o.,,	,			
Status	,	يا الإ	11-	- 7					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1 13	12	ØD 3			•		
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This ac	tion is	non-f	inal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposi	tion of Claims		,		•				
4) 🕏	Claim(s) 1-29				is/are	e pending in the a	application.		
	la) Of the above, claim(s)								
	Claim(s)					is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) $1-2q$					is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆							o.		
8) 🗆									
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) 🗌	acce	pted or b) Objecte	ed to by the Exar	niner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).									
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.									
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			5) Notice of Informal Petent Application (PTO-152)						
_	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Information Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other:								
31 🗀 tm	officion Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO-1443) Paper NO(s).	51 □	Juier.						

Page 2 Applicant(s): Bent (705/40) Serial Number: 09/677,535

Art Unit: 3624 Representative: Ruben (32,058)

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of the amendment filed August 13, 2003 amending claims 1-4, 6-8 and adding 1.

claims 9-29 is acknowledged. Claims 1-29 are pending in the application and are presented to 3

be examined upon their merits.

1

5

6

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in 2. 8 view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 3. 13 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action: 14
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lowery 4. 21
- (US 6,189,785) Hagan (hereinafter"'685", US 5,864,685) and Hagan (hereinafter "'398", US 22
- 5,291,398). 23

Serial Number: 09/677,535 Applicant(s): Bent (705/40) Page 3

Art Unit: 3624 Representative: Ruben (32,058)

Re claims 1-4, 6-19, 20-28 and 29:

1

23

Lowery, as in claims 1, 6, 20 and 29, discloses a method for managing a plurality of 2 demand accounts for multiple clients whose funds are held at a plurality of banking institution 3 in one or more insured deposit accounts (see Lowery Abstract, and col. 2, 11. 66 to col. 4, 11. 53), comprising: 5 providing a database having client information for each account (see Lowery Abstract, 6 and col. 2, 11. 66 to col. 4, 11. 53); 7 administering clients' deposits and withdrawals from each of their demand accounts 8 authorizing or rejecting the use of funds in a particular client's demand account for 9 each demand payment requested from that client's account (see Lowery Abstract, and col. 2, 10 11. 66 to col. 4, 11. 53); IIdetermining the net transaction of the sum of the demand account deposits and 12 withdrawals on a regular basis (see Lowery Abstract, and col. 2, 11. 66 to col. 4, 11. 53); 13 using the determination of the transaction to deposit funds to or withdraw funds from 14 the one or more insured deposit accounts in which the client's funds are held to the client's 15 demand accounts (see Lowery Abstract, and col. 2, 11, 66 to col. 4, 11, 53); 16 updating the database for each clients' deposit and authorized demand payment (see 17 Lowery Abstract, and col. 2, 11. 66 to col. 4, 11. 53). 18 Lowery fails to disclose effectively providing Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation 19 ("FDIC") insurance greater than \$100,000 for each client. This is disclosed by '685 and '398 20 (see particularly, '398, col. 2, 11. 28+). It would have been obvious for an artisan of ordinary 21 skill at the time of the invention to provide the aforementioned feature to Lowery because an 22

artisan at the time of the invention would have recognized the advantage to structuring

Serial Number: 09/677,535 Applicant(s): Bent (705/40) Page 4

Art Unit: 3624 Representative: Ruben (32,058)

different ownership categories within a single organization because an artisan would have I recognized the convenience of securing liquid funds in under one umbrella which would 2 provide less charges due to maintenance fees, while also providing FDIC protection for funds 3 in excess to \$100K. Thus to provide the aforementioned feature would be constituted an obvious expedient to one of ordinary skill in the art. 5 as also in claim 2, determining whether each client's insured deposit account contains 6 more than a specified amount; and 7 distributing any amounts over the specified amount into another insured deposit account 8 at a preselected banking institution (see Lowery Abstract, and col. 2, 11. 66 to col. 4, 11. 53). 9 as also in claims 3, client's funds are deposited by at least one method selected from the 10 group consisting of check, wire or electronic transfer, automated clearing house ("ACH"), 11 third, party credits, and a sweep from one of the client's other accounts (see Lowery Abstract, 12 and col. 2, 11. 66 to col. 4, 11. 53). 13 as also in claim 4, wherein the specified amount is \$100,000 (see '685, col. 2, 11. 14 35+).15 as also in claim 5, of determining a client's preferences of the amount of funds to be 16 held in each of the multiple insured deposit accounts (see Lowery Abstract, and col. 2, 11. 66 to 17 col. 4, Il. 53). 18 as also in claim 7, withdrawals are in the form of at least one type selected from the 19 group consisting of drafts), and combinations thereof (see Lowery Abstract, and col. 2, 11. 66 20

to col. 4, 11. 53).

21

Serial Number: 09/677,535 Applicant(s): Bent (705/40) Page 5

Art Unit: 3624 Representative: Ruben (32,058)

as also in claims 8-19, 21-28, deposits are in the form of at least one type selected from

the group consisting of drafts (checks), sweeps, electronic transfers, and combinations thereof

3 (see '685, col. 1, ll. 53 to col. 4, ll. 24).

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Daniel S. Felten* whose telephone number is (703) 305-0724. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 7:00AM to 5:30PM Monday-Thursday.

Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application or its proceedings should

Serial Number: 09/677,535 Applicant(s): Bent (705/40)

Art Unit: 3624 Representative: Ruben (32,0)

Representative: Ruben (32,058)

Page 6

be directed to the Customer Service Office (703) 306-5631, or the examiner's supervisor
 Vincent Millin whose telephone number is (703) 308-1065.

3

7. Response to this action should be mailed to:

5

6

7

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

for formal communications intended for entry, or (703) 305-0040, for informal or draft communications, please label "Proposed" or "Draft".

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [daniel.felten@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1 195 OG 89.

20

21 22

23 DSI

October 31, 2003

Vineas Helle

VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3800